

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for the careful consideration given to this application. Reconsideration is now respectfully requested in view of the amendment above and the following remarks.

Claims 164-199, 201-213, 216-219 and 221 are pending in this application. Claims 169, 175, 181, 187, 193, 195, 200, 214, 215, and 220 have been cancelled. Claims 164, 170, 176, 182, 188, 194, 196, 201, 206, 211, 216, and 221 are independent claims. Claims 164-168, 170-174, 176-180, 182-186, 188-192, 194, 196-199, 201-213, 216-219, and 221 have been amended without prejudice herein. Support for the claim amendments may be found throughout the specification as originally filed. For example, support for the amendments to Claims 164-168 may be found at least at col. 2, line 22 – col. 3, line 36. Support for the amendments to claims 170-174 and 201 – 205 may be found at least at col. 3, line 66 – col. 6, line 13. Support for the amendments to claims 176 – 180 and 206-210 may be found at least at col. 10, lines 11 – 56. Support for the amendments to claims 182-186 and 211-213 may be found at least at col. 8, line 50 – col. 10, line 7. Support for the amendments to claims 188 – 192 and 216-219 may be found at least at col. 6, line 17 – col. 8, line 47. Support for the amendments to claims 194 and 221 may be found at least at col. 2, line 22 – col. 2, line 47. Accordingly, no new matter has been added. Reconsideration and allowance of the present application are respectfully requested.

Claim Objections

Claims 200, 214-215, and 220 are objected to as the status should be indicated as “(Cancelled).” Claims 200, 214-215, and 220 have been corrected herein without prejudice. Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests the rejection of Claims 196-199, 201-213, 216-219, and 221 under 35 U.S.C. §101 be withdrawn.

Claims Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §101

Claims 196-199, 201-213, 216-219, and 221 stand rejected as allegedly being directed to non-statutory subject matter. Without acquiescing to the appropriateness of these rejections and solely for purposes of expediting prosecution of the subject application, Claim 196 has been amended without prejudice to recite, *inter alia*:

“ ... receiving, at a wireless service provider... wherein the wireless service provider comprises a central processing unit ...
... authorizing, at the wireless service provider ...
... responding, at the wireless service provider ...”

Also without acquiescing to the appropriateness of these rejections and solely for purposes of expediting prosecution of the subject application, Claims 201, 206, 216, 217, and 221 have been amended without prejudice to recite, *inter alia*:

“ ... receiving, at a wireless service provider ... wherein the wireless service provider comprises a central processing unit ...
... determining, at the wireless service provider ...
... authorizing, at the wireless service provider ...
... responding, at the wireless service provider ...”

Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests the rejection of Claims 196-199, 201-213, 216-219, and 221 under 35 U.S.C. §101 be withdrawn.

Claims Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. §112

Claims 196-199, 201-213, 216-219, and 221 stand rejected because the best mode contemplated by the inventor has, allegedly, not been disclosed. Without acquiescing to the appropriateness of these rejections and solely for purposes of expediting prosecution of the subject application, Claim 196 has been amended without prejudice to recite, *inter alia*:

“ ... receiving, at a wireless service provider ... wherein the wireless service provider comprises a central processing unit ...
... authorizing, at the wireless service provider ...
... responding, at the wireless service provider ...”

Also without acquiescing to the appropriateness of these rejections and solely for purposes of expediting prosecution of the subject application, Claims 201, 206, 216, 217, and 221 have been amended without prejudice to recite, *inter alia*:

“ ... receiving, at a wireless service provider ... wherein the wireless service provider comprises a central processing unit ...
... determining, at the wireless service provider ...
... authorizing, at the wireless service provider ...
... responding, at the wireless service provider ...”

Therefore, Applicant respectfully requests the rejection of Claims 196-199, 201-213, 216-219, and 221 under 35 U.S.C. §112 be withdrawn.

Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants note with appreciation the Examiner's indication that Claims 164 – 195 are allowed.

Disclaimer

Applicants may not have presented all possible arguments or have refuted the characterizations of either the claims or the prior art as found in the Office Action. However, the lack of such arguments or refutations is not intended to act as a waiver of such arguments or as concurrence with such characterizations.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above, consideration and allowance are respectfully solicited.

In the event the Examiner believes an interview might serve in any way to advance the prosecution of this application, the undersigned is available at the telephone number noted below.

The Office is authorized to charge any necessary fees to Deposit Account No. 22-0185.

Applicant believes no further fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 22-0185, under Order No. 05525-00003-US1 from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: 9/13/2010

Respectfully submitted,

Electronic signature: /Jonathan M. Darcy/
Jonathan M. Darcy
Registration No.: 44,054
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP
1007 North Orange Street
P. O. Box 2207
Wilmington, Delaware 19899-2207
(302) 658-9141
(302) 658-5614 (Fax)
Attorney for Applicant